## School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2018—19 School Year California Department of Education

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <a href="https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### Mark Douglas, Interim

Principal, Robert C. Fisler Elementary

### **About Our School**

Robert C. Fisler School is located in the Western section of the City of Fullerton in the County of Orange. Robert C. Fisler School serves students in kindergarten through eighth grade. The school population of 878 students is composed of diverse backgrounds. Eighty-three percent of our students are Asian, four percent are Caucasian, six percent are Hispanic, four percent are of two or more races, and one percent are African American. Robert C. Fisler School offers a wide range of unique educational opportunities. With the highest number of GATE identified students in the Fullerton School District, instruction focuses on furthering depth and complexity of content to enhance students' learning opportunities. Fisler School offers a 1:1 laptop program for grades third through eighth and a 1:1 iPad program for grades first and second. Students use technology to access curriculum, reinforce skills, and extend learning.

### Contact

*Robert C. Fisler Elementary 1350 Starbuck St. Fullerton, CA 92833-5668* 

Phone: 714-447-2890 Email: <u>mark\_douglas@myfsd.org</u>

### **About This School**

### Contact Information (School Year 2019-20)

District Contact Information (School Year 2019—20)				
District Name	Fullerton Elementary			
Phone Number	(714) 447-7400			
Superintendent	Bob Pletka			
Email Address	bob_pletka@myfsd.org			
Website	www.fullertonsd.org/			

School Contact Information (School Year 2019—20)					
School Name	Robert C. Fisler Elementary				
Street	1350 Starbuck St.				
City, State, Zip	Fullerton, Ca, 92833-5668				
Phone Number	714-447-2890				
Principal	Mark Douglas, Interim				
Email Address	mark_douglas@myfsd.org				
Website	www.fullertonsd.org/fisler/				
County-District-School (CDS) Code	30665060102582				

Last updated: 1/30/2020

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2019-20)

#### School's Mission Statement:

At Robert C. Fisler School, we empower each other to create, communicate, collaborate, and think critically in a technology-rich environment.

#### School's Vision Statement:

The Fisler School community comes together based on a vision to develop students into the innovators of today. Fisler students will become the forward-thinkers, strategists, and leaders who transform their future and innovate solutions for a better world.

#### School's Behavior Statement:

Robert C. Fisler School is a community of kind, safe, respectful and responsible citizens. We are dedicated to creating a positive learning environment where we live by the Navigator Way--Kind, Safe, Respectful, and Responsible.

#### School Description:

As an Apple Distinguished School for twelve straight years, Robert C. Fisler School's vision for technology integration has drawn international attention through its 1:1 laptop program. As 21st Century learners, project-based learning is integrated throughout the curriculum. Students in grades three through eight use individual laptops to master rigorous content and performance standards. Students in first and second grade have access to individual tablets. All classrooms are equipped with interactive Promethean boards and/or Smart TVs. Students use Apple Creativity and Productivity applications to design, create, invent, and engineer ideas and products using technology through project-based learning and design thinking. Other web-based programs offered at Robert C. Fisler School include Rosetta Stone, Accelerated Reader, IXL, Front Row and BrainPop. Aided by Apple Macbooks, mobile devices, and other digital resources, students use technology as a learning tool for design thinking and problem solving to become programmers, engineers, inventors and designers. Students create, communicate, collaborate and think critically in a technology-rich environment.


Fisler has a school-wide focus on progressive coding skills across the grade levels. Kindergarteners learn about coding through Kibo and Beebots; first graders program Ozobots, second graders program Dash robots; third graders build and program Lego Mindstorm EV3 robots; fourth graders learn advanced coding through Scratch and program circuitry, using Makey Makey and Little Bits to create and build inventions; fifth grade students learn language-based coding through Python and use Raspberry Pi to program Artificial Intelligence (AI); and 6th graders learn about cyber security, how to protect assets and "break in" to systems, and use language based coding to build and hack simple networks. Middle school students learn animation, html coding, photography, robotics, and web design through technology class and/or electives. In addition to electives, middle school students explore their passions during Compass Time through the district PATHFinder program. In this program, 5th and 6th grade students identify and explore their passions through one college options that will best fit their passion to about the academic preparation necessary to propel them to a successful career in the future. Additionally, 6th-8th grade students are given an opportunity to take AP Computer Science A Exam. Middle school sports programs.

Fisler teachers are committed to research-based instruction to support each child. They focus on scaffolding skills and developing student independence through Reading and Writing Workshop, Balanced Literacy practices, and Cognitively Guided math instruction. Teaching students how to collaborate and communicate with adults and peers is a high priority. Rich hands-on science activities are infused through the use of scientific probes, ProScopes, and science kits in every classroom. Additional highlights include a fully equipped science lab and a state of the art multi-purpose room with three stage production areas. In partnership with parents, Fisler's goal is to infuse school and students' homes with collaboration, critical thinking, and problem solving to enhance children's education. Fisler Fisler aims to support the whole child through its Empowering Navigators Program. It includes positive behavior supports, social emotional learning, and cultural proficiency. In addition to Positive Behavior Interventions Supports (PBIS) implementation and platinum level recognition, Fisler develops students' social emotional awareness. Primary grades incorporate morning meeting in which students connect with one another and with the teacher. Classrooms use Restorative Circles, a safe community circle where each person can be heard, when conflicts arise or as a way to build community. The Fisler school counselor has effectively modeled social stories to support students and teachers. She models how to use a social story, a purposeful story to teach desired behaviors, so that teachers can build student capacity in understanding oneself and classmates. Second Step, a curriculum that provides foundational skills in self-regulation and executive functioning, has also been implemented to promote social and emotional development. Fisler values that students become empathetic, flexible, persitent, resilient and optimistic people. These skills equip students as they seek to build and sharpen their skills to transform their future and innovate solutions for a better world

2018-19 SARC - Robert C. Fisler Elementary

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2018–19)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	96
Grade 1	96
Grade 2	96
Grade 3	92
Grade 4	99
Grade 5	105
Grade 6	127
Grade 7	103
Grade 8	105
Total Enrollment	919



Last updated: 1/23/2020

### Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2018–19)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.20 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	%
Asian	75.30 %
Filipino	5.70 %
Hispanic or Latino	6.90 %
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.10 %
White	5.40 %
Two or More Races	5.40 %
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	19.40 %
English Learners	20.00 %
Students with Disabilities	3.00 %
Foster Youth	0.10 %
Homeless	%

### A. Conditions of Learning


## **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

### **Teacher Credentials**


Teachers	School 2017 —18	School 2018 —19	School 2019 —20	District 2019— 20
With Full Credential	33	34	34	522
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	1
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	0



Last updated: 1/29/2020

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2017— 18	2018— 19	2019— 20
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. \* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials (School Year 2019–20)

Year and month in which the data were collected: September 2019

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	• McGraw-Hill K-8	Yes	0.00 %
Mathematics	• Houghton Mifflin Go Math K-8	Yes	0.00 %
	• McGraw Hill Geometry 8		
Science	• Houghton Mifflin K-5	Yes	0.00 %
	• McDougal Littell 6-8		
History-Social Science	• Houghton Mifflin K-5	No	0.00 %
	• McDougal Littell 6-8		
Foreign Language	• En Español 7-8	Yes	0.00 %
	• Vista Higher Learning French 7-8		
Health	Dairy Council of California (grade appropriate materials)	Yes	0.00 %
	• Too Good for Drugs (grades 4-6)		
	Holt McDougal Decisions for Health 7 (2005)		
	• Teen Talk 7		
Visual and Performing Arts	• "All the Arts for all the Kids" lessons/curriculum in visual art, music, dance, and theater	Yes	0.0 %
	• Instrumental music (grades 5-6)		
	• Band and string instruments and musical scores (grades 7-8)		
Science Lab Eqpmt (Grades 9-12)	N/A	N/A	0.0 %

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 4/20/2020

### **School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements**

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. Listed below is more specific information on the condition of the school and the efforts made to ensure that students are provided with a clean, safe, and functional learning environment. Acacia Elementary School has 21 classrooms, a library media center, a computer lab and a multi-purpose room. The main campus was built in 1957. Additions were constructed in 1960 and 1965. Two portable classrooms were added in 1988 and two more were added in 1997 and another in 2013. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The District has established cleaning standards for all schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the district maintenance office. The Maintenance and Operations staff works with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior and exterior painting, and floor systems. During 2018-19 the District spent \$30 on Deferred Maintenance. For the 2019-20 school year the District budgeted \$36,145 for Deferred Maintenance expenditures.

Last updated: 1/30/2020

### School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: November 2019

System Inspected	Rating	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Poor	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Fair	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

### **Overall Facility Rate**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: November 2019

**Overall Rating** 

Good

### **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAS] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

#### CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 2017—18	School 2018—19	District 2017—18	District 2018—19	State 2017—18	State 2018—19
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	90.0%	90.0%	59.0%	58.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	84.0%	84.0%	51.0%	51.0%	38.0%	39.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018—19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	632	616	97.47%	2.53%	89.77%
Male	311	302	97.11%	2.89%	86.09%
Female	321	314	97.82%	2.18%	93.31%
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	465	450	96.77%	3.23%	91.78%
Filipino	30	30	100.00%	0.00%	80.00%
Hispanic or Latino	48	47	97.92%	2.08%	87.23%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	32	32	100.00%	0.00%	93.75%
Two or More Races	48	48	100.00%	0.00%	87.50%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	133	133	100.00%	0.00%	84.21%
English Learners	222	206	92.79%	7.21%	84.47%
Students with Disabilities	19	19	100.00%	0.00%	47.37%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2018—19)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	632	627	99.21%	0.79%	84.37%
Male	311	308	99.04%	0.96%	85.39%
Female	321	319	99.38%	0.62%	83.39%
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	465	461	99.14%	0.86%	88.50%
Filipino	30	30	100.00%	0.00%	73.33%
Hispanic or Latino	48	47	97.92%	2.08%	68.09%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White	32	32	100.00%	0.00%	81.25%
Two or More Races	48	48	100.00%	0.00%	77.08%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	133	133	100.00%	0.00%	77.44%
English Learners	222	217	97.75%	2.25%	80.65%
Students with Disabilities	19	19	100.00%	0.00%	31.58%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight and High School Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School	School	District	District	State	State
	2017—18	2018—19	2017—18	2018—19	2017—18	2018—19
Science (grades 5, 8, and high school)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018–19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018–19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019–20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

Last updated: 1/23/2020

### Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2018—19 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.00%
2017—18 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	

## **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2018–19)

Grade	Percentage of Students Meeting Four of Six	Percentage of Students Meeting Five of Six	Percentage of Students Meeting Six of Six
Level	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards	Fitness Standards

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### C. Engagement

## **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019–20)**

A number of advisory councils and community resources support the school program; including an involved PTSA, a devoted School Site Council, a committed Foundation (F2AST), a dedicated School Safety Committee, and a supportive English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) that contributes many hours on campus to support student learning.

ELAC (English Language Advisory Committee)

- Advocating for English Learners
- Supporting with parent workshops and trainings
- Providing language support (translations)
- Assist in making school-wide decisions.
- Providing administration with parent input via the ELAC meetings/Youth Truth Survey
- Attending DELAC meetings in support of district initiatives.
- Input on School safety

### 2. PTSA

- Helps build strong relationships with families, staff, and administration based on student interest
- Recruiting and coordinating volunteers/support
- Organizing parent training opportunities
- Planning teacher appreciation activities
- Supporting student learning through fundraising events

#### 3. Safety Committee

- Comprised of administration, support staff, and parent representatives
- Meets regularly to debrief on drills
- Discuss safety concerns
- Develop action plans to improve safety protocol on campus
- 4. School Site Council
  - Developing, monitoring, implementing, and evaluating the School's Single Plan for Student Achievement
  - Provides inputs and approval of the school's safety plan.
  - Provides inputs/suggestions for improvement

• Share LCAP information

5. F2AST Foundation

- Fisler Foundation for the Advancement of Science and Technology is a 501(c)(3) status non- profit educational organization created by parents, teachers and administrators to raise funds to help meet budget shortfalls in science and technology.
- Mission Statement: To enhance the science and technology programs at Robert C. Fisler School in furtherance of 21st century learning.
- Vision: The underlying tenet of F2AST is straightforward: The whole is greater than the sum of its parts. F2AST knows that the quality of a child's education is based on the partnership between the parents, educators, and the community. Together, let's overcome today's unprecedented budget crisis and give our children the quality education they deserve.

Goals: F2AST has three fundamental goals:

- 1. To make-up for district funding shortages that would have eliminated the Laptops for Learning program years ago.
- 2. To purchase student-centered software that is curriculum-specific for each grade level.
- 3. To provide financial support for science and technology initiatives.

The Fisler community participates in City of Fullerton and Fullerton District events such as Faces of Fullerton, Fullerton Fit Families, All the Arts Pin Auction, Toast to Learning, Rotary Club Jog-a-thon, and Needlework Guild of America events.

## **State Priority: Pupil Engagement**

Last updated: 1/23/2020

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates


### **Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)**

Indicator	School 2015—16	District 2015—16	State 2015—16
Dropout Rate			9.70%
Graduation Rate			83.80%

Indicator	School 2016—17	School 2017—18	District 2016—17	District 2017—18	State 2016—17	State 2017—18
Dropout Rate					9.10%	9.60%
Graduation Rate					82.70%	83.00%

Dropout/Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate) Chart

2018-19 SARC - Robert C. Fisler Elementary



For the formula to calculate the 2016–17 and 2017–18 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2018–19 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>.

## **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

Rate	School 2016—17	School 2017—18	School 2018—19	District 2016—17	District 2017—18	District 2018—19	State 2016—17	State 2017—18	State 2018—19
Suspensions	0.40%	0.00%	0.10%	1.50%	1.20%	1.40%	3.60%	3.50%	3.50%
Expulsions	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%	0.10%	0.10%

Last updated: 1/23/2020

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2019-20)

A comprehensive school safety plan was developed and approved prior to March 1, 2000 in compliance with Education Code Sections 33126, 35256, and 32286 as well as applicable District policies and regulations. Each year, prior to March 1, the school's safety plan is reviewed, updated and discussed with staff and School Site Council. Annually, the school's staff reports on the status of its safety plan with the school leadership team, PTA and School Site Council. Status reports and updates are reviewed at the beginning of each school year and again in December before the finished School Safety Plan is approved by the members of the School Site Council on March 1. The key elements of the plan include: a) providing a safe teaching and learning environment for all students and staff members, b) ensuring that all students are safe and secure while at school sponsored activities, c) making district programs and community resources available to students and parents, and d) creating a school where students, programs, and community members interact in an atmosphere of mutual respect that enhances the environment for learning. Each year the school submits along with the safety plan a detailed "action plan" which addresses the identified areas the staff and School Site Council has brought forward as critical areas of concern or how they should improve. A copy of the plan is available at all times in the school office and will provide a more detailed description of each element of the plan including specific actions, resources and time lines to be implemented by the school. Copies are also available at the District Office in the office of Child Welfare and Attendance.

Updated and reviewed with staff: 1/22/20 Approved by School Site Council: 1/22/20

### **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) School Year (2016–17)

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
К	27.00		3	
1	29.00		3	
2	24.00	1	3	
3	31.00		3	
4	32.00		2	2
5	35.00			3
6	25.00	2	3	2
Other**				

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

\*\* "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) School Year (2017-18)

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
К	32.00		3	
1	31.00		3	
2	30.00		3	
3	24.00	1	3	
4	32.00		2	1
5	32.00		1	3
6	26.00	2	8	1
Other**				

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

\*\* "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) School Year (2018–19)

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-20	Number of Classes * 21-32	Number of Classes * 33+
к	32.00		3	
1	32.00		3	
2	32.00		3	
3	23.00	1	3	
4	25.00	1	2	1
5	35.00			3
6	30.00	1	4	5
Other**				

\* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

\*\* "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2016-17)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-22	Number of Classes * 23-32	Number of Classes * 33+
English	30.00	2	4	8
Mathematics	1.00	1		
Science	34.00		1	5
Social Science	30.00	1	4	3

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2017–18)

			-	-
Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-22	Number of Classes * 23-32	Number of Classes * 33+
English	34.00	1	1	11
Mathematics	30.00		5	2
Science	34.00		1	5
Social Science	33.00		2	5

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary) (School Year 2018–19)

Subject	Average Class Size	Number of Classes * 1-22	Number of Classes * 23-32	Number of Classes * 33+
English	31.00	3	3	8
Mathematics	26.00	2	4	2
Science	33.00		3	4
Social Science	35.00		1	5

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018–19)

	Title	Ratio**
Counselors*		656.40

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. \*\*Average Number of Pupils per Counselor

Last updated: 1/26/2020

### Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018–19)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School		
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0.40		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.00		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.50		
Psychologist	0.40		
Social Worker	0.00		
Nurse	0.20		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.60		
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	2.00		
Other	1.00		

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017-18)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$5561.00	\$242.00	\$5319.00	\$94064.00
District	N/A	N/A	\$5297.28	\$91359.00
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	0.40%	3.00%
State	N/A	N/A	\$7506.64	\$82663.00
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-29.10%	13.80%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/31/2020

# Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2018–19) Primary Focus: • K-8 Intervention Programs • K-8 English Language Development • K-8 Writing Strategies • K-8 Language Arts Strategies • K-8 Mathematics Strategies • K-8 Technology Integration Delivery of Professional Development: • Staff Development Day • Workshops Conferences • After- school Workshops • In-class Coaching Other Related Professional Development activities: Data-Driven instruction • Technology Integration • Marzano Instructional Strategies


• Systematic ELD


- Response to Intervention
- Thinking Maps
- Writer's Workshop
- Mathematics Instructional Strategies
- English Language Arts Program

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2017–18)

Category	District Amount State Average For Districts In Same Category	
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$52,483	\$45,741
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$89,286	\$81,840
Highest Teacher Salary	\$113,025	\$102,065
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$130,195	\$129,221
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$136,684	\$132,874
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$	\$128,660
Superintendent Salary	\$270,531	\$224,581
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	38.00%	36.00%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6.00%	5.00%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits web page at <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/</a> .





### Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2018–19)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered* Percent of Students In AP Courses	
Computer Science	0	N/A
English	0	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts	0	N/A
Foreign Language	0	N/A
Mathematics	0	N/A
Science	0	N/A
Social Science	0	N/A
All Courses	0	0.00%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

 $\ast \mathsf{W}\mathsf{here}$  there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Professional Development					
Measure	2017—18	2018—19	2019—20		
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	10	10	10		